

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,667	08/19/2003	Yoshihiro Satoh	03186-1/2002-239455	3481
21254 75	90 09/14/2005	EXAMINER		
MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200			NOVACEK, CHRISTY L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
VIENNA, VA	22182-3817		2822	

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/642,667	SATOH, YOSHIHIRO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christy L. Novacek	2822		

	Christy L. Novacek	2822					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on		) and the appropriate exte	ension fee have				
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS  The present amendment(s) filed after a final existing	hara maian da dha al da a failinn a tair	£					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	, , ,	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.					
NOTE: <u>see attached</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.	• • • •						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		A!					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to determine the control of the con	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
	/h						
	4 miles	à LANGEDINO					
	The state of the s	ARTHOUSE BANINER					
	TECHNOLIN	36: 421.1. 2800					

### Advisory Action

This office action is in response to the proposed amendment filed August 18, 2005.

#### Response to Proposed Amendment

The proposed amendment will not be entered because it attempts to add new limitations into claim 11. Consideration of these limitations would require a new search.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant stated, "Since the Amendments above narrow the issues for appeal and since such features and their distinctions over the prior art of record were discussed earlier and were suggested by the Examiner, such amendments do not raise a new issue requiring a further search and/or consideration by the Examiner." During a personal interview on February 9, 2005, the Examiner suggested that Applicant add claim limitations reciting that the bottom width of the second insulator film was reduced into claim 1 to overcome the rejections of this claim as being unpatentable over Saito (US 6,235,620) in view of Araki (JP 2000-031277) as stated in the nonfinal rejection. The Examiner never suggested adding these limitations to claim 11, because, at the time of the interview, claim 11 did not even exist. After the non-final rejection and the interview, Applicant chose to add the suggested limitations to claim 1, but then added new, independent claim 11 that was substantially broader than original claim 1. The limitations that Applicant now attempts to add to claim 11 in the proposed amendment have never been previously considered in relation to claim 11; thus, the limitations constitute a "new issue". As such, a new search would have to be conducted to consider these limitations in claim 11. Therefore, the proposed amendment will not be entered.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,667

Art Unit: 2822

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christy L. Novacek whose telephone number is (571) 272-1839. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays 7:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on (571) 272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CLN September 6, 2005

> DIAMINEN TIMEN

Page 3